IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Cr.Misc. No.35897 of 2008
BHINDOL NUT, son of Late Bahor Nut, resident of village-
Bahuara, P.S. Masrakh, District Saran at Chapra …. Petitioner
Versus
THE STATE OF BIHAR …. Opposite party

04/ 14.11.2008 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the State.

Petitioner is an accused in a case under Sections 395, 397 of the Indian Penal Code and is named in the First Information Report along with Birendra Mahto and Raju Nut. Birendra Mahto was allowed bail by this court, but thereafter absconded on the very next date fixed in the case and noticing the said fact the court below has rejected the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

In the circumstances, I am also not inclined to admit the petitioner to the privilege of bail. This application is rejected. The trial court, however, should split the trial of the petitioner and proceed with the same and conclude at the earliest.

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL

Arjun/ **(V.N. Sinha, J.)**